

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICAT	ION NO. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/580	7590	05/30/2000	Yuhpyng L. Chen	U-014293-3 8367	
26 W	Ladas & Parry 26 West 61st Street New York, NY 10023			EXAMINER JONES, DWAYNE C	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1614	10
				DATE MAILED: 04/17/2003	70

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
•		Application N	Applicant(s)				
		09/580,791	CHEN, YUHPYNG L.				
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
		Dwayne C Jones	1614				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondenc address				
A SH THE I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ver to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on the	amendment 23 DEC 2002 .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under						
· _	ion of Claims		•				
•	Claim(s) 1-14 and 29-40 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 29-40</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) <u>2,13,14 and 29</u> is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	r election requirement.					
	•	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
الــا(١٥	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•					
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on						
,	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	u)-(d) or (f).				
-	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
- /.	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* S	application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_				
14) 🗌 A	acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
)						
Attachmen	<u>-</u>	•					
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/580,791

Art Unit: 1614

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

- 1. Claims 1-14 and 29-40 are pending.
- 2. Claims 1-14 and 29-40 are rejected.
- 3. Claims 2, 13, 14 and 29 are objected to.
- 4. Claims 15-28 are cancelled as per the amendment of December 23, 2002.

Claim Objections

- 5. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: after the phrase, $"SO_2NH(C_1-C_4 \text{ alkyl})"$ the next definition of R_5 is represented with a subscripted $_{SO_2}$ moiety. Appropriate correction is required.
- 6. Claim 13 is objected to because of the following informalities: after the phrase, "neurodegenerative diseases" and before the phrase, "gastrointestinal diseases" it is recommended that a comma is inserted between these two ailments. Appropriate correction is required.
- 7. Claim 14 is objected to because of the following informalities: after the phrase, "such as depression" and before the phrase, "and postpartum depression;" it is recommended that the second comma between these two ailments/conditions is removed. Appropriate correction is required.
- 8. Claim 29 is objected to because of the following informalities: the variables of R24 and R25 should be listed as R_{24} and R_{25} . Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/580,791

Art Unit: 1614

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 9. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 10. Claims 1-14 and 29-40 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. When the variable of "Z" is defined as " $NC(O)CF_3$ " the valency of nitrogen is not completed. It is recommended that this group is replaced with $-N(C(O)CF_3)$ -- or its equivalent.
- 11. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 12. A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86

Application/Control Number: 09/580,791

Art Unit: 1614

USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 13 recites the broad recitations of "pain perception" and "ischemic neuronal damage" and the claim also recites "such as fibromyalgia" and "including cerebral ischemia", respectively, which are the narrower statements of the range/limitation.

- 13. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 14. A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in Ex parte Wu, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of Ex parte Steigewald, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); Ex parte Hall, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and Ex parte Hasche, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 14 recites the broad recitation "mood disorders" and "in a mammal" and the claim also recites "such as depression" and "including a human", respectively, which are the narrower statements of the ranges/limitations.

Application/Control Number: 09/580,791

Art Unit: 1614

- 15. Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. This claim uses two open-ended terms back-to-back or is attempting to claim a smaller range within a range. In either case, the word "including" renders the claim vague and indefinite.
- 16. Claim 40 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claim must be in one sentence form only. As a result, this claim is vague and indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

17. The rejection of claims 1-14 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Chen of WO 95/33750 possessing a publication date of December 14, 1995 is removed in response to the amendment of December 23, 2002.

Conclusion

18. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 09/580,791 Page 6

Art Unit: 1614

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. C. Jones whose telephone number is (703) 308-4634. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays from 8:30 am to 6:00 pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Seidel can be reached on (703) 308-4725. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1235.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Tech. Ctr. 1614 April 14, 2003